IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

CIVIL REVISION APPLICATION No 1624 of 1999

For Approval and Signature:

HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE P.B.MAJMUDAR

==========

1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgements? : NO

2. To be referred to the Reporter or not? : NO

3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgement? : NO

4. Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder? : NO

5. Whether it is to be circulated to the concerned Magistrate/Magistrates,Judge/Judges,Tribunal/Tribunals? : NO

ARVINDKUMAR HARILAL PARMAR

Versus

JYOTIBEN ARVIND PARMAR

Appearance:

1. Civil Revision Application No. 1624 of 1999

MR YOGESH S LAKHANI for Petitioner No. 1

MR HRIDAY BUCH for Respondent No. 1

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE P.B.MAJMUDAR

Date of decision: 03/03/2004

ORAL JUDGEMENT

Learned advocate for the petitioner states that the parties have settled the dispute inter se. Consent term arrived at between the parties in H.M.P.Suit

No.57/1997 is produced and the same is taken on record. Learned advocate for the petitioner states that the consent terms are arrived at between the parties in H.M.P.Suit No.57/1997, while the impugned order is passed in H.M.P.Suit No.43/1997 and it seems that there are two proceedings between the parties. He submitted that his client has instructed him to withdraw this Civil Revision Application as the dispute involved in this matter is settled between the parties. Therefore, he wants to withdraw this Civil Revision Application.

2) Permission to withdraw this Civil Revision Application is granted. Accordingly this Civil Revision Application is disposed of as having been withdrawn. Rule is discharged. No order as to costs. Liberty to apply in case of difficulty.

(P.B.Majmudar,J.)

/malek